# SEQUENCE OF RETURN RISK 

## AN EDUCATIONAL GUIDE

The risk of receiving lower or negative returns early in a period when withdrawals are made from an investment portfolio is known as a "sequence of return risk". If you are taking withdrawals from your portfolio, the order or the sequence of investment returns can significantly impact your portfolio's overall value.

## CONSIDER THE FOLLOWING HYPOTHETICAL INVESTMENT SCENARIOS FOR MR. GREEN AND MR. BROWN:

Mr. Green and Mr. Brown both started with a $\$ 1$ million investment portfolio at the age of 65 . Both averaged a 6\% annual return that grows to the same value after 25 years, but they experience their annual returns in an inverse order from each other.

Take a look at this chart demonstrating their different paths to their ending values.

In this case, the sequence of investment returns had no bearing on portfolio values because the average rate of return was the same and no distributions were taken from the account.

|  |  | Mr. Green \$1 Million 6\% Return |  | Mr. Brown \$1 Million 6\% Return |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Annual Return | Year End Account Value | Annual Return | Year End Account Value |
| 65 |  | \$1,000,000 |  | \$1,000,000 |
| 66 | 5\% | \$1,050,000 | -25\% | \$750,000 |
| 67 | 28\% | \$1,344,000 | -14\% | \$645,000 |
| 68 | 22\% | \$1,639,680 | -10\% | \$580,500 |
| 69 | -5\% | \$1,557,696 | 16\% | \$673,380 |
| 70 | 20\% | \$1,869,235 | 21\% | \$814,790 |
| 71 | 19\% | \$2,224,390 | 5\% | \$855,529 |
| 72 | 23\% | \$2,736,000 | -16\% | \$718,645 |
| 73 | 9\% | \$2,982,240 | 8\% | \$776,136 |
| 74 | 16\% | \$3,459,398 | 14\% | \$884,795 |
| 75 | 23\% | \$4,255,059 | 24\% | \$1,097,146 |
| 76 | 22\% | \$5,191,172 | 14\% | \$1,250,747 |
| 77 | -26\% | \$3,841,468 | 5\% | \$1,313,284 |
| 78 | -15\% | \$3,265,247 | -15\% | \$1,116,291 |
| 79 | 5\% | \$3,428,510 | -26\% | \$826,056 |
| 80 | 14\% | \$3,908,501 | 22\% | \$1,007,788 |
| 81 | 24\% | \$4,846,541 | 23\% | \$1,239,579 |
| 82 | 14\% | \$5,525,057 | 16\% | \$1,437,912 |
| 83 | 8\% | \$5,967,062 | 9\% | \$1,567,324 |
| 84 | -16\% | \$5,012,332 | 23\% | \$1,927,808 |
| 85 | 5\% | \$5,262,949 | 19\% | \$2,294,092 |
| 86 | 21\% | \$6,368,168 | 20\% | \$2,752,910 |
| 87 | 16\% | \$7,387,075 | -5\% | \$2,615,264 |
| 88 | -10\% | \$6,648,367 | 22\% | \$3,190,623 |
| 89 | -14\% | \$5,717,596 | 28\% | \$4,083,997 |
| 90 | -25\% | \$4,288,197 | 5\% | \$4,288,197 |
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## Now let's look at how the sequence of returns can impact a portfolio when taking distributions

AN EDUCATIONAL GUIDE
The sequence of investment returns can significantly impact your investment portfolio when taking distributions. It is important to manage this risk in retirement by maintaining sound asset allocation strategies, product diversification, and an understanding of how best to respond to changing market conditions.

| Age | 5\% Annual Withdrawal | Annual Return | Year End Account Value | 5\% Annual Withdrawal | Annual Return | Year End Account Value | Consider this alternative hypothetical investment scenario for Mr. Green and Mr. Brown: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 65 |  |  | \$1,000,000 |  |  | \$1,000,000 |  |
| 66 | \$50,000 | 5\% | \$1,000,000 | \$50,000 | -25\% | \$700,000 |  |
| 67 | \$50,000 | 28\% | \$1,230,000 | \$50,000 | -14\% | \$552,000 |  |
| 68 | \$50,000 | 22\% | \$1,450,600 | \$50,000 | -10\% | \$446,800 |  |
| 69 | \$50,000 | -5\% | \$1,328,070 | \$50,000 | 16\% | \$468,288 | Mr. Green and Mr. Brown still begin with an initial $\$ 1$ million investment portfolio. However, in this example, they start taking 5\% annual withdrawals (of the initial value) beginning immediately at age 65. |
| 70 | \$50,000 | 20\% | \$1,543,684 | \$50,000 | 21\% | \$516,628 |  |
| 71 | \$50,000 | 19\% | \$1,786,984 | \$50,000 | 5\% | \$492,460 |  |
| 72 | \$50,000 | 23\% | \$2,147,990 | \$50,000 | -16\% | \$363,666 |  |
| 73 | \$50,000 | 9\% | \$2,291,309 | \$50,000 | 8\% | \$342,760 |  |
| 74 | \$50,000 | 16\% | \$2,607,919 | \$50,000 | 14\% | \$340,746 |  |
| 75 | \$50,000 | 23\% | \$3,157,740 | \$50,000 | 24\% | \$372,525 |  |
| 76 | \$50,000 | 22\% | \$3,802,443 | \$50,000 | 14\% | \$374,679 |  |
| 77 | \$50,000 | -26\% | \$2,763,808 | \$50,000 | 5\% | \$343,412 |  |
| 78 | \$50,000 | -15\% | \$2,299,237 | \$50,000 | -15\% | \$241,901 |  |
| 79 | \$50,000 | 5\% | \$2,364,199 | \$50,000 | -26\% | \$129,006 |  |
| 80 | \$50,000 | 14\% | \$2,645,186 | \$50,000 | 22\% | \$107,388 | Mr. Green begins taking withdrawals in an up market, giving him the optimal environment to maintain his portfolio value long-term. |
| 81 | \$50,000 | 24\% | \$3,230,031 | \$50,000 | 23\% | \$82,087 |  |
| 82 | \$50,000 | 14\% | \$3,632,235 | \$50,000 | 16\% | \$45,221 |  |
| 83 | \$50,000 | 8\% | \$3,872,814 | \$50,000 | 9\% | \$0 |  |
| 84 | \$50,000 | -16\% | \$3,203,164 | \$50,000 | 23\% | \$0 |  |
| 85 | \$50,000 | 5\% | \$3,313,322 | \$50,000 | 19\% | \$0 |  |
| 86 | \$50,000 | 21\% | \$3,959,120 | \$50,000 | 20\% | \$0 | Unfortunately for Mr. Brown, he starts taking income in a down market and depletes his entire portfolio before reaching age 83. |
| 87 | \$50,000 | 16\% | \$4,542,579 | \$50,000 | -5\% | \$0 |  |
| 88 | \$50,000 | -10\% | \$4,038,321 | \$50,000 | 22\% | \$0 |  |
| 89 | \$50,000 | -14\% | \$3,422,956 | \$50,000 | 28\% | \$0 |  |
| 90 | \$50,000 | -25\% | \$2,517,217 | \$50,000 | 5\% | \$0 |  |
|  |  | \% Return |  |  | 6\% Return |  |  |
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